

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/657,757	09/08/2000	Anna Maria Helena Boots	0/96198US	2735
31846 75	05/19/2003			
INTERVET INC 405 STATE STREET			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 318 MILLSBORO,			NOLAN, PATRICK J	
,	t		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMÉER
			1644	1 7
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/657,757

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Patrick J. Nolan

Art Unit 1644

Boots et al.



The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	on the cover once: With the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFB 1 136 (e)	In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
maning date of this communication.	
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply withing the NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apper a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, causes. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Ny and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 27,</u>	2003
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This a	ction is non-final.
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) X Claim(s) 2, 7, 11, and 13-17	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 💢 Claim(s) <u>2, 7, and 11</u>	
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>13-17</u>	is/are rejected.
7) U Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) U Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
it approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of: 	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
as several debies of the bilotity decaments has	
and applies of the bilotty documents the	locuments have been received in this National Stage
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	au (PC) Rule 1/2(a))
(14) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional	al application has been received.
$ 5 angle\Box$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
ttachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Serial Number: 09/657,757

Art Unit: 1644

Part III DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 2, 7, 11, and 13-17 are pending.

- 2. Applicant is requested to update the first page of the specification with the 35 USC 120 and 35 USC 371 data.
- 3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2-27-00 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for nasal administration of the peptides to induce systemic tolerance, does not reasonably provide enablement for any administration to induce tolerance: The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most clearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Wendling et al., newly cited, teaches that route of administration, nasal worked while parenteral did not, appears to be critical in treating autoimmune diseases with normally autoreactive peptides. Wendling et al., reasons that the stimulation of IL-10 production for bystander suppression appears to be critical for tolerance induction. It is recently known that nasal administration favors IL-10 production while other routes (parenteral) do not. However, such a fine tuning of administration is not recited by the instant claims, but appears critical to the enablement of the claimed invention.

Serial Number: 09/657,757

Art Unit: 1644

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being

anticipated by Hakala et al. (W), of record.

Hakala et al., teaches the sequence of the full length HC gp-39 protein in a pharmaceutical composition with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier (see page 25804 and 25807, in particular). Claims 13-14 are read as open ended because of the terms containing line 2 of claims 13 and 14. If Applicant wishes to exclude their peptides reading on the full length protein from which they were derived, the only acceptable claim language is "consisting of".

The prior art teachings anticipate the claimed invention.

- 6. Applicant is notified that presently recited claims 2, 7, and 11 are free of the prior art.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Nolan whose telephone number is (703) 305-1987. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached at (703) 305-3973. The FAX number for our group, 1644, is (703) 305-7939. Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Fatrick J. Nolan, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner, Group 1640

May 18, 2003